UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,426	05/23/2007	Izidor Brajnovic	NOBELB.246NP	1951
20995 7590 03/18/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, YOGESH P	
IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/584,426	BRAJNOVIC, IZIDOR			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		YOGESH PATEL	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN A CONTROL OF THE MAILING IN THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	Sentember 2008				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 September 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	•	Expanto quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2006</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cepties of the priority d	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because it is not clear where reference numeral 2 is referring to or pointed to in figure 1. It appears that numerals 2 and 10a are pointing to the same element. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No support found for the limitation "the second sleeve-shaped part having a width that is less than a width of the first sleeve-shaped part." According to drawings, element 10 is identified as second sleeve-shaped part and element 9 is identified as first sleeve-shaped part. It appears that the second sleeve part has a width that is greater than a width of the first sleeve part.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what "its" encompasses as in claim 1. Further, it is not clear what is meant by "upon separation of the bridge from the dental implant, separating the first and second sleeve-shaped parts so that the first sleeve-shaped part maintains its position on the dental implant and the second sleeve-shaped part follows along with the bridge or comprises a free part." It is not clear how separating the first and second sleeve-shaped parts would maintain the first sleeve-shaped part in its position. Further, upon separation of the bridge from the dental implant, it is not clear how the second sleeve-shaped part would follow along with the bridge and also the bridge is not connected to the dental implant. Furthermore, it is not clear what is meant by "a first sleeve-shaped part included in the spacer member." According to figure 1, it appears that a first sleeve-shaped part 9 is not included in the spacer member. Same applies to the second sleeve-shaped part. Clarification in claims required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwan (6,068,479).

Kwan discloses a method including coupling a first sleeve-shaped part (e.g. 10) included in the spacer member (e.g. portion below sleeve-part 10) to the implant 114; coupling a second sleeve-shaped part 210 included in the spacer member (e.g. bottom portion of 210) to a fastening member in the bridge/tooth 270, the second sleeve-shaped part having a width that is less than a width of the first sleeve-shaped part (e.g. at least portion of the second sleeve-part has width (e.g. in the center area) that is less than the first sleeve-shaped part); assembling the first and second sleeve-shaped parts together when the bridge is anchored to the dental implant (fig. 13); and upon separation of the bridge from the dental implant, separating the first and second sleeve-shaped parts so that the first sleeve-shaped part maintains its position on the dental implant and the second sleeve-shaped part capable of following along with the bridge or comprises a free part.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwan in view of Folsom (5,888,218).

Kwan discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the second sleeve-part is approximately 70% of the width of the first sleeve part and a plurality of protrusion.

Folsom teaches plurality of protrusion along the sleeve-shaped part. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kwan by providing protrusion as taught by Folsom for better stability of the crown/tooth/bridge. Further, Kwan is silent the specifics of the width; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to such range of width of the sleeve-shaped member, since it has been held that discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skills in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/19/208 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued about limitations (e.g. the amended language to claims), which has been addressed above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3732

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 3732

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Ralph A. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732